

2014 02427-61-JAK
BS 614154 ETC



[REDACTED]

25 November 2014

Our ref: 4962

Dear [REDACTED]

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 2000 REQUEST

In reference to your Freedom of Information request on 28 October 2014 in which you requested the costs of a variety of cases.

The Freedom of Information Act is a public disclosure regime, not a private regime. Information disclosed under it is thereafter deemed to be in the public domain, and therefore freely available to the general public upon request.

CPS does not record time spent by internal lawyers, paralegals and administrative staff on a case by case basis. We can therefore only provide counsel costs for the following cases:

- William Roache (Preston 2014) - £28,138**
- Max Clifford (Southwark 2014) - £61,174.29**
- Rolf Harris (Southwark 2014) - £96,266.19**
- Nigel Evans (Preston 2014) - £66,081.30**
- Tulisa Constostavlos and Michael Coombes (Southwark 2014) - £18,643.05**
- Andrew Lancel (Preston 2014) - £3,347.96**
- Stuart Hall (Preston 2014) - £16,959.84**
- Stuart Hall (Preston 2013) - £4,104.90**
- David Patrick Griffin (Southwark 2014) - £59,061.23.**
- Lilian Rayne (Newcastle 2010) - No information is held due to the CPS retention criteria.**
- Christopher Hulne and Vasiliki Price (Southwark 2014) - £102,729.52**

SENT TO CLERK
8/3/15

2

201402428 - CI-JAS
(3rd BREACH SURY TRIAL
APPEAL)

Constance Briscoe (Central Criminal Court 2014) - £86,739.11

Michael Doherty (Stevenage 2013 / St Albans 2014) - £2533.20

Peter Guy Sainsbury and others (Southwark 2008/10) - £352,370.57

Maurice Kirk (T20131144 Cardiff 2014) - £5676.90

Maurice Kirk (A20140005 Cardiff 2014) - £917.40

Maurice Kirk (T20130801 Cardiff 2013) - £1572.50

NO Maurice Kirk (A20110290 Cardiff 2012) - This case was discontinued, no counsel were instructed 1st MURKIN APPEAL TO ADDRESS MURKIN CONVICTION (EXHIBITS SPIRITED AWAY)
12

NO Maurice Kirk (T20127589 Cardiff 2014) - No information is held 2nd BREACH SURY TRIAL

NO Maurice Kirk (T20120090 Cardiff 2012) - No information is held 1st BREACH SURY TRIAL
LIARS 4th BREACH TRIAL STAYED

Rebekah Brookes and others (Central Criminal Court 2013/14)

The cost of CPS staff, expert witnesses and counsel was circa £1.7 million.

Under section 40(5) of the FOI Act we are not obliged to confirm or deny whether we hold information on the four remaining cases you have referred to, if to do so would contravene any of the data protection principles as outlined in the Data Protection Act 1998.

The first data protection principle states;

Personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully and, in particular, shall not be processed unless—

- (a) at least one of the conditions in Schedule 2 is met, and
- (b) in the case of sensitive personal data, at least one of the conditions in Schedule 3 is also met.

If any information were held, confirming this would reveal to the world at large that there was a case against the individuals in question; this would constitute the sensitive personal data of that individual. In order to disclose that fact to the world at large a condition in Schedule 3 of the Data Protection Act would have to be met. There are no applicable conditions met in the circumstances of your request therefore confirming or denying would contravene the first data protection principle.

If you are unhappy with the decisions made in relation to your request you may ask for an internal review within two calendar months of the date of this letter. You should contact the Information Management Unit (Freedom of Information Appeals), Rose Court, 2 Southwark Bridge, London, SE1 9HS.