

Mr Mike Curry,
Head of Unit, Magistrates Section, CJU,
Crown Prosecution Service,
19th Floor, Capital Tower,
Greyfriars Road, Cardiff CF10 3PL

8th November 2011

Dear Mr Curry,

Re: The direction of my evidence in Mr Kirk's case

In case it helps, my evidence supports that Mr Kirk was "reasonable" in his actions and acted 'to try to prevent and detect crime'.

You have on file my letter 12 September 2011 of how top officials conduct can be 'unusual' in supporting Dr ***** agenda. That official advises or decides for Welsh Ministers. That means Mr Kirk's relationship with the public sector can be adversely affected leaving him no place to turn for help, to demonstrate the reasonableness of his actions.

A doctor with experience as a brain surgeon explained to me, that their opinion would be that neither Dr * *****, (a psychiatrist), or his psychologist Prof R Wood are medically qualified to interpret brain scans. Add to that Mr Kirk does not have brain damage. If this is true then Dr *****' actions are indefensible.

Therefore Dr * ***** expressing his Opinion at the Crown Court that Mr Kirk be sectioned indefinitely for the effects of permanent brain damage, when in truth there is no brain damage, would seem overtly criminal or at the least grossly negligent.

However the authorities should not be seen supporting such action by Dr * ***** but should be actively exposing his cavalier approach because of the danger to the public and his patients.

Does it follow that should this not be done, then those in authority need also to be called to account why do they continue to support Dr ***** in his actions ?

I understand the phrase used by lawyers is that 'justice would not be done' by prosecuting or convicting Mr Kirk and I believe a conviction would be 'unsafe' and open to endless appeal.

I am unable to attend Mr Kirk's Magistrates Court case this week.

Yours sincerely